ECBA · European Biology Briefing

January–March 2026 · 2026 Q1

What a biology association can do

Career services in Finland, research access at sea and the route from crop innovation to use.

1 January
Finland's shared career services begin

6 → 4 days
NIOZ's first scientific voyage

£21.5m
UK development projects announced

Finland, Türkiye and the Netherlands show three kinds of work that sustain a biological profession. This issue looks at who provides career services, how an association makes its discipline visible and how researchers gain access to facilities.

Association news
Member publications and media
Other organisations
Bio-based economy
Policy and reports

Association news

Finland: Loimu starts a new term with a broader membership

Niko Ekholm was elected Loimu chair for **2026–2027** at the delegates' meeting of 22 November 2025. The election precedes this reporting year; the new term belongs to its opening. E01

From **1 January 2026**, Agronomiliitto's members moved into Loimu for labour-market representation and employment and career services. Agronomiliitto continues as a separate food-sector organisation and a Loimu member association. This is a change in the division of work, rather than the disappearance of that organisation. E02

A 16 February Loimua report describes four staff transferring from Agronomiliitto to Loimu. It provides follow-through on the organisational announcement, although the quality of the enlarged service has not been independently evaluated here. E03

RSB: new executive leadership, with a presidential handover ahead

Dr Doug Brown became chief executive of the Royal Society of Biology on **1 September 2025**, succeeding Dr Mark Downs after sixteen years. Brown previously led the British Society for Immunology. This is background to the 2026 briefing, rather than a new 2026 appointment. V01

The RSB's **6 January 2026** review announced that Dame Melanie Welham would succeed Sir Ian Boyd as president during the year. It also reported the earlier launch of 'Biologist of Tomorrow', a skills analysis supported by partner member organisations. At the Q1 reference date, the presidential transition remained ahead; the skills project's launch was a 2025 development. V03

The two leadership functions should remain visible separately in European association news: chief executive and president. A change in either role is worth recording alongside strategy and member services, without assuming that a change of person has already produced a measurable organisational result.

Portugal: professional representation at a presidential audience

On **27 February**, the Ordem dos Biólogos reported its participation in the CNOP professional bodies' audience with the Portuguese President. The Ordem was represented by its bastonária, Maria de Jesus Fernandes. A joint manifesto accompanied the occasion. This records an act of professional representation; it does not establish a subsequent policy change or new powers for biologists. V05

Switzerland: LS2 approves new section-board members

Life Sciences Switzerland's **27 January** online general assembly approved **twelve new members of its section and intersection boards**, starting in February. Its published minutes also record honorary membership for Pierre Cosson. These are specific governance decisions; section-board appointments should not be confused with a change of the society's national president. E04

For a European readership, publication of the decisions makes it possible to follow how a multidisciplinary society renews the groups that organise its scientific work. It provides a firmer basis for reporting a transition than an undated list of office-holders.

Türkiye discusses the place of biology as a discipline and a profession

On 5 February the Biyologlar Dayanışma Derneği (BDD) organised a workshop on maintaining the academic and professional coherence of biology. Aksaray University reported the event on 9 February. For associations whose members work across schools, laboratories and environmental practice, this illustrates a forum for discussing professional identity across those settings. The report establishes the event and organiser. Follow-up decisions remain to be checked.

Aksaray University

Three ways to make a profession visible

Finland

Loimu and Agronomiliitto

Who answers a member's question about employment or career progression?

Portugal

Ordem dos Biólogos and the CNOP audience

How does a professional body get evidence from practitioners into a cross-profession policy discussion?

Türkiye

BDD workshop reported by Aksaray University

How can a society bring teaching, research and applied practice into a single discussion about the discipline?

These examples address different problems. A shared service needs a clear handover from the member's first contact to specialist advice. A policy audience needs a way to gather and agree what to take forward. A workshop needs a record of the issues and any decisions that follow. The Finnish staff transfer is evidence of implementation. The Portuguese and Turkish accounts establish that the meetings took place. What a board can compare is the route each association used and what evidence it can show at the next stage.

Also in this quarter

- **United Kingdom · leadership:** the January review announces a presidential transition; Doug Brown's September 2025 CEO appointment is historical context. V01 · V03.
- **Portugal · representation:** the Ordem's February CNOP audience report is covered above. V05.
- **Italy · professional development:** FNOB links its January publication to a self-study credit route and an associated training offer. See the official announcement. N02

Member publications and media

A hearing-test profile makes a biological career concrete

In its 6 March company profile, Bionieuws describes MindAffect's hearing-test technology: an EEG headset records responses while sounds are presented, without requiring the participant to report each sound. The interview explains why the company is working on people for whom a conventional response-based test is difficult. This is an account of the company's approach; clinical accuracy and regulatory status have not been independently assessed for this briefing. C11

The professional interest is the problem the team is trying to solve. A test is not simply an instrument that produces a number. It is a procedure that a person must be able to complete and that a practitioner must be able to interpret. The biological signal, the method of analysis and the conditions of use all belong in the development task.

For associations describing careers in neurobiology or biomedical science, this gives a more concrete account than the general term health technology. The work can include understanding a response, evaluating a signal, designing an analysis and explaining the scope of the result to another professional. A company profile becomes useful when it makes those functions visible.

It also gives readers a reason to follow the underlying research rather than rely on the profile alone. An attractive account of a practical problem does not establish how a method compares with alternatives. The appropriate next source for that question is a study of performance in the intended use and population.

The value of the association press is therefore twofold: it identifies biological work that members may otherwise not see, and it opens a route to a more detailed evaluation. Both functions matter when an association wants its members to recognise opportunities beyond familiar job titles.

What an association magazine can do that a news feed cannot

The Dutch and Italian examples in this issue use publications in different ways. Bionieuws offers a shared view across biological research and practice; the January FNOB announcement connects an issue to a self-study route and an associated course. The reviewed material supports those publishing arrangements, not a comparison of educational outcomes. N01 · N02

The difference is instructive. An association publication can offer orientation, structured learning or both. A reader may need to understand an unfamiliar field well enough to work with a colleague, while another reader needs to update knowledge in an established area of practice. The editorial task is to make the purpose of a contribution clear.

An account of a new technique can therefore be written at several levels. A short report can establish what changed. A feature can explain the method and its limits. A learning resource can work through a case and make the reader's reasoning visible. These forms should connect, but they are not interchangeable. Reading a news item is not the same activity as completing assessed professional learning.

A European selection adds another layer. An article familiar to one national association may be unknown elsewhere. The most useful contribution is not necessarily a translation of the entire original. It may be an explanation of the problem the association addressed, the approach it chose and the evidence available about its results.

Language is part of this work. A specialist term may carry a professional or legal meaning in one country that is absent in another. Simply translating it can create apparent agreement where institutional arrangements differ. An editorial explanation should preserve those differences instead of making every national service sound equivalent.

The digital extension should follow the same logic. A podcast can make an expert's reasoning accessible; a webinar can demonstrate an analysis; a policy paper can state a position. The reader needs to know the producer, the date and the type of material. A list of channel names cannot supply that context. A useful recommendation identifies a particular contribution and explains what the reader will gain from it.

For boards, the question is which reader need the publication serves consistently. If the association promises a reliable view of developments affecting its members, it needs selection and explanation. If it promises professional learning, it needs a defensible learning route. Both require editorial judgement and sustained maintenance.

The European newsletter can support national publications by directing readers to their strongest material and explaining its wider significance. It should give credit to the original producer and retain a route to the source. That makes the European layer a service to the network rather than a parallel collection of unattributed excerpts.

Also in this quarter

- **Netherlands · magazine:** Bionieuws, 17 March connects marine research infrastructure, animal welfare and regeneration in its public contents. N01
- **Media coverage:** no separately dated Q1 Instagram post or podcast episode has yet been verified for this selection. Confirmed channels are kept in the association channel directories, without assigning them a historical publication date.

Other organisations

A new ship is a test of an entire research system

The March issue of Bionieuws placed the RV Anna Weber-van Bosse on its cover. The more revealing development came in an update from the operator. NIOZ's account, published on 6 March and updated on 23 March, describes a first scientific voyage shortened from six days to four while new systems were being tested and adjusted. Work originally planned with TNO, Deltares and the Flemish Marine Institute, VLIZ, had to be postponed. The intended programme combined seabed processes with geological and landscape research. C01

This is a useful European story because it makes the practical meaning of research capacity visible. An investment becomes useful when instruments, technicians, scientists, permissions and sampling schedules work together. The first voyage is consequently a stage in building that capacity. Reporting the adjustment alongside the original ambition gives a more informative picture than a launch photograph alone.

For associations representing marine, ecological and environmental biologists, the relevant professional question is how members gain access to such capacity. A facility can exist without being accessible to a particular researcher, institution or career stage. Access may depend on a research proposal, the availability of trained personnel, compatible equipment and the ability to prepare and analyse samples. A national facilities directory becomes more useful when it explains those routes rather than listing equipment.

There is also a continuity problem. An instrument may produce a better measurement than its predecessor while changing the relationship with a long-running observation series. That creates a methodological task: determine whether old and new measurements can be compared, document changes in sampling and retain enough contextual information to explain a discontinuity. This is a general issue for monitoring programmes, not a finding that the new Dutch ship has produced an inconsistent series.

The professional work therefore extends beyond the expedition. It includes planning measurements, checking quality, preparing data for reuse and explaining what the results do and do not represent. A comparison of biological occupations that recognises only academic job titles would miss much of that work. Technicians, data specialists and field staff are part of the infrastructure in a practical sense.

For a smaller national association, a useful service could be a short guide to how members find facilities, training and methodological support in neighbouring countries. Such a guide would need actual access conditions and named institutional procedures. It could distinguish an open course from a competitive facility application and a data portal from a promise of ship time. That distinction would save readers more effort than a general announcement that European collaboration matters.

The Dutch example also strengthens the case for reporting implementation honestly. Delayed work is not automatically evidence of a failed investment; an operational update is not automatically proof of eventual success. What matters is whether the next stages are documented. A well-maintained European briefing can follow that progression over several issues, preserving the original plan and showing what changed.

For association boards: infrastructure policy concerns the conditions under which members can practise their science. Access, training, technical careers and the continuity of observations belong in the discussion alongside capital investment. The relevance reaches beyond marine biology to collections, imaging centres, sequencing facilities and ecological observatories.

European solidarity: FEBS describes support for Ukrainian researchers

In a **25 March** communication, FEBS highlighted its Ukrainian Short-Term Fellowships as a way to support research in host laboratories across its network. This is an existing support route described in 2026, rather than a programme assumed to have been launched that year. Awards and outcomes have not been reviewed here. E14

Also in this quarter

- **Historical reading:** the NRC's A New Biology for the 21st Century (2009) links integrated biological research to food, ecosystems, energy and health. It supplies a framing for this briefing, not new Q1 news or an EU policy decision. L13

Bio-based economy

What crop innovation reveals about the route to a market

Defra's February announcement provides three contrasting entry points into biological production: industrial hemp, latex from dandelions and tomatoes with enhanced provitamin D_3. It describes funded projects and intended development, rather than completed market or health outcomes. N03

The examples make it possible to compare three kinds of value proposition without assuming that one measure of success applies to all of them. A material crop may need a predictable processing quality and a dependable supply chain. A proposed alternative source of a familiar material must compete with what customers already buy. A food crop with a compositional change brings an additional question about the significance of that change in actual consumption.

For a biologist considering work in these areas, the experimental question is connected to a commercial decision. A laboratory result may show that a trait is present. A processor may need to know how much variation occurs between harvests. A manufacturer may need a specification expressed in properties of the processed material. An agricultural decision may depend on rotation, inputs and the existing uses of the land.

The work of translation is consequently more substantial than replacing a scientific term with a business term. It requires connecting the measurement to the decision. If the customer needs reliable processing performance, an average concentration measured in a few samples may be a poor substitute for understanding variability under the relevant production conditions.

This is a useful framework for associations that want to make industrial careers visible. A career account could follow one decision from field or laboratory to purchaser. It could show what a biologist measured, what a colleague from another discipline contributed and how the evidence changed the development plan. That would explain the profession more clearly than a list of fashionable sectors.

The environmental assessment also needs a specified comparison. A crop grown for a new purpose may displace an existing use or use a stream that already has a customer. Transport and processing may change the result. A meaningful question is therefore what the alternative production system actually is. Without

that counterfactual, statements about replacement remain incomplete.

No company-specific conclusion about those trade-offs follows from the funding announcement. The lesson is how to assess the projects as they develop. A European case series could revisit them when field, process and adoption evidence becomes available, rather than treating the award as the end of the story.

For small and medium-sized companies, professional associations may be useful because they connect kinds of expertise that do not naturally share the same meeting. A plant scientist, food biologist, ecologist and process specialist can ask different questions about the same proposed product. Whether the association can support a particular collaboration depends on actual people and resources; a shared interest alone is not a partnership.

For association boards: bio-based employment is broader than a biotechnology company directory. It includes the biological expertise needed to characterise resources, establish reliable production and judge consequences across a value chain.

What would count as progress for each crop?

Industrial hemp

A fibre or material that meets a buyer's specifications

Consistency across harvests, storage and processing.

Dandelion latex

A feedstock for a material supply chain

Reliable yield and a processing route that can serve the intended market.

Tomatoes with enhanced provitamin D_3

A food with a defined composition

Composition, proposed use and the evidence appropriate to that use.

Defra's funding announcement describes development work. Each crop needs its own definition of progress. A buyer for a fibre product has a different question from a food business assessing composition and intended use. The table sets out the editorial questions that follow from the three examples, rather than reporting project results. A professional society can use these questions to match a project with the right combination of plant science, processing, nutrition and environmental expertise.

Also in this quarter

- **Development funding:** Defra's 2 February announcement includes projects on biological raw materials and food crops. The funding supports development; it does not demonstrate market uptake. N03

Policy and reports

Bioeconomy policy needs a precise account of the biological work

The March Council conclusions connect demand, investment and sustainable use of biomass. Their importance for the profession is that several kinds of biological judgement sit inside those economic objectives. N04

A demand policy concerns what will be purchased and on what terms. A resource policy concerns what can be supplied reliably and with acceptable consequences. An innovation policy concerns how an idea can develop into a useful application. Treating these as separate discussions can obscure where biological evidence is needed.

For example, the category residual biomass says little by itself about the amount available at a particular place, its condition, its existing uses or the ecological role of removing it. The technical prospect of using that stream creates a reason to investigate those questions. It does not answer them. This is where ecological and industrial expertise must meet.

Similarly, a policy that encourages a class of products still needs a way to distinguish performance among the members of that class. Biological origin can be one attribute, while durability, safety, resource use and end-of-life behaviour are others. The relevant standard depends on the intended application. The role of a professional association can be to make the evidence requirements intelligible to members and policymakers.

For boards following European policy, the most productive reading is therefore task-based. Identify the decision, the evidence it requires and the biological work needed to produce or interpret that evidence. That helps reveal professional opportunities without claiming an economic effect that has not been measured.

The conclusion for this quarter is a connected professional agenda: access to infrastructure, competence in new predictive methods and recognition of biological work across production systems. These are different subjects, but the national examples show why a European biology publication needs room to explain the links between them.

Also in this quarter

- **EU:** Council conclusions of 17 March set a policy direction for bioeconomy deployment. N04
- **EU funding programme:** the original CBE 2026 work programme is the historical basis for the call calendar above. N05

ECBA · Revised 2026 edition